

1 we really have the authority to make such limitation  
2 under the legislative authority we have?

3 THE CHAIRMAN: I am not sure I follow you.  
4 Do we have the authority to do what?

5 MR. HOFF: To eliminate the possibility of  
6 a Convention coming in with an amendment.

7 MR. DELLA: Convention is supreme power.

8 MR. BROOKS: We are not deleting that  
9 opportunity or their freedom to do so if you follow  
10 what Judge Adkins was saying in that they have the power  
11 regardless of what we say pro or con. Whether they are  
12 to come back with amendments or a whole new document,  
13 they can still come back with whatever they like.

14 MR. MARTINEAU: I think I agree with Judge  
15 Adkins and Mr. Scanlan. I don't think you can control  
16 it but I think you are inviting the bum argument if you  
17 do take out the language that we have here and merely  
18 provide only that they can come in with a complete new  
19 document. I think you are inevitably inviting a contest  
20 over whether they can come in with anything less than  
21 that. I think my research in the law of constitutional