

1 probably could submit it to the voters the way they  
2 wanted to.

3 MRS. BOTHE: The objection, I think, is  
4 Judge Adkins, that Section 13 the way it is worded is  
5 an invitation to the Convention to do less than  
6 produce a new document. It can produce a new document  
7 which is somewhat the same as the present one with  
8 amendments but at least it is an entire new document or  
9 taken to the voters as an entirety. Since nobody wants  
10 to do it, I don't want to make another motion I feel  
11 constrained to, I will move that the words change or  
12 amend be deleted from Page 2, Section 13, and that the  
13 sense otherwise of Section 13 be that a new Constitution  
14 and only a new Constitution be proposed and that the  
15 provisions of that Section apply to the proposal of an  
16 entire new Constitution.

17 THE CHAIRMAN: Is the motion seconded?

18 MR. CASE: I second it.

19 MR. SAYRE: I would oppose this deletion  
20 on the basis of exactly the arguments of Judge Adkins  
21 and Mr. Scanlan. Suppose that we simply have an amendment