I think, I am certain this will be challenged.

MR. SCANLAN: I am not so sure of that.

MR. SCANLAN: Can't remove it that way.

MR. CASE: I will challenge it. I will make it certain if I am still here just to remove that argument.from the context of what we are discussing.

In any event, if it turns out the Convention completes its work and does not come up with a new document and at that time it is the considered judgment of members of the Convention plus the considered judgment, say, of the Attorney General, whoever he may be, and Governor at that time, that your somewhat conjectural point has any validity, I assume they could very easily do what Mr. Brooks suggested they could do. No need for us to put in the document. On the other hand, if they agree with me that your point approaches the spurious there would be no need to do that. They can make the judgment at that time.

MR. CASE: What harm would be done by doing exactly what Mr. Brooks suggested, where would you lose anything?