

1 instance, on reform of fiscal section, budget section
2 and judiciary and Legislature, but couldn't agree on
3 a new executive article or any other article, I still
4 think it will not have been what we hoped for but it
5 will still be worthwhile to have these changes put to
6 the people.

7 MR. CASE: To obviate the point I make, why
8 not provide in substance the things Mr. Brooks said by
9 requiring at least a complete document to be submitted
10 and those points that couldn't be agreed upon be included
11 and the new points be included so that you don't have
12 an amendment submitted to the people but you have a
13 Constitution submitted to the people? What is
14 wrong with that?

15 MR. SCANLAN: I think Mr. Brooks was
16 trying to meet your technical point.

17 MR. CASE: Perhaps so but it is better to
18 meet the technical point with a technical approach and
19 have success than fall down completely with just an
20 amendment. This invites, as I see it, the possibility
21 of submitting an amendment. I am saying to you that