I think in order, I don't think MR. SYKES: it would make any difference in fact because that has constitutional significance. To the extent the act was inconsistent with it the constitution would control. I do think it would probably be a desirable thing to make that statutory as well. So as to alert people who are interested in it to exactly what the situation is and they wouldn't have to look for the text beyond the single section here. They would still have to get some interpretation on doubtful questions but I think that is a very good suggestion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE CHAIRMAN: I think it poses problems because the limitation on office of profit is in two different sections and they are not identical. One is as to the legislative, effective for the term for which he is elected even though he resigns. I can't put my finger on the other, I think it is in the Declaration of Rights, I can't remember the article, it does not have that limitation as I recall it. Also you have the fact that it has been suggested that the existing provisions of the Constitution as to holding