

1 are only just a couple of lines.

2 MR. SCANLAN: I think we adopted this
3 language because it is the language that has been in
4 all the bills, earlier bills convening convention of
5 1831, '64, '67, and in every piece of legislation that
6 was introduced over the last twenty years to convene
7 a constitutional convention. Only difference in
8 language here is the exception in favor of the minister
9 of the Gospel. I agree we could write it affirmatively
10 as Mr. Sykes suggests. On the other hand, the publicity
11 that would be attendant to the convening of this
12 convention certainly will give full notice of the
13 requirements of eligibility.

14 THE CHAIRMAN: It seems to me, this is
15 behind my earlier questions, it would be highly desirable
16 to have the bill answer all questions to the greatest
17 possible extent and not require further explanation.
18 If you provided it affirmatively here the qualifications
19 are so simple that you would end up with a shorter
20 section and would not have to have any special section
21 as to minister of the Gospel, et cetera. It seems to