is the bill heading with title of the bill. I see no need to read what clearly is a very long title or heading. I think perhaps longer than most bills that we have encountered. This was deliberate. Very frankly, the Committee has always had in the back of its mind the possibility that somebody some day might attempt to prevent the convention from being convened by litigation. Of course, one of the areas that might be attacked would be to raise the issue that the bill or the statute under which the convention was convened was unlawful in one respect or another.

As you know, a bill should in its title or heading reflect the substance of the bill or the matters that the bill deals with. That explains the rather long bill heading. I don't believe it's necessary to read it. You all have it in front of you, unless someone requests me to read it, I will assume everyone has read it or is reading it.

MR. BOND: I do have one question on the bill heading. I just read it. I see nothing in the bill heading that indicates how the convention will be