

1 to be by supplementary appropriation bill, which would
2 bring into play all the safeguards that you have thrown
3 around such a bill. Now my question, therefore, is
4 wouldn't this be made abundantly clear simply by striking
5 the words, emergency appropriation, and saying, supple-
6 mentary appropriation bill?

7 MR. CASE: Sure.

8 MR. CLAGETT: I don't know that I can quite
9 agree with the answer given. I think there is a broader
10 connotation to the word, emergency appropriation, and
11 there can be an appropriation which is neither a supple-
12 ment nor is it supplementary.

13 THE CHAIRMAN: This is true. This is what
14 troubled me about the language, but the Committee has made
15 clear that there is no possibility under the draft that
16 they propose to provide such an appropriation, except in
17 one of those two manners.

18 MR. CLAGETT: I don't think that is right.

19 THE CHAIRMAN: This is implicit in the question
20 I asked the Committee on Section 2..

21 MR. CLAGETT: Because there is that question