may consider any supplementary appropriation bill, not a regular budget bill and to remove any doubt, wouldn't it be better simply to say, instead of emergency appropriation, to say, any supplementary appropriation bill? In other words, I take it that it is not the purpose to infer that a special session could not consider a supplementary appropriation bill that was not an emergency.

MR. CASE: Special session wouldn't have an opportunity to have a supplementary appropriation bill because it would never have a budget bill, and therefore there could be no supplemental, nothing to supplement. All this says is that it gives the General Assembly the right to appropriate money for special sessions.

THE CHAIRMAN: This is just the point that

I am trying to make. Do you mean to say that the Legislature would not have the power to have, or to introduce
a supplementary appropriation bill that would be independent of a budget? In other words, in a special session?

MR. CASE: Special session is called to act on one specific thing, and I think very definitely it should not be allowed to go ahead and have the right to