if you go beyond existing law which limits this to people who are expending money or applying for money, this means anybody in the department, and they can be made to come down and testify with respect to a budget bill; they might be asked to get into matters which would raise questions of executive privilege and which would get very

subject to abuse, and there is a distinct difference in my mind between the two separate clauses, and that is why

I think it is unnecessary, it is complicated,

THE CHARIMAN: Mr. Case, do you want to make

any further comment on the amendment?

I made the suggestion that they be separated.

MR. CASE: I would like to ask Mr. Sykes whether he would have the same objection if the words, expending or applying for State monies, if that were put back in, you would not have the same argument, would you?

MR. SYKES: My argument certainly would be bss strong.

MR. CASE: Because I would have no objection to putting those words in, but I do think, reiterating the position I earlier took, that the Legislature ought