population based upon a long time ago; was that classification upheld in the Courts?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CION U

sentiment would be I could see Mr. Martineau's point and  $\tilde{\textbf{I}}$  think we could rewrite this so they can't get around that population provision.

DR. LOEVY: I can't answer that question.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Miller?

MR. MILLER: I would merelyjust like to comment on what Senator Hoff said. There are reasons it might be more controlled, it would seem to me, this is in the Constitution and a limitation, there might be reasons for controlling the population. Allegany County, for instance, might come into a different population level entirely from Garrett or there might be reason for having the tobacco country have some special regulations, and I don't think we ought to tie the hands of the Legislature by limiting it to population, not in the Constitution, and I would say that we just say under such criteria as they might determine, or otherwise it might be presumed that it meant only

THE CHAIRMAN: And I would assume, Mr. Miller,

population.