.

MR. MELVIN: I think we are opening ourselves up to litigation as to the interpretation of that word serious. I don't know the solution to it but it access to me no matter what the Legislature decides, that it could be attacked on the grounds that the crimes they designate are not serious.

MR. RUSSELL: The answer is the Committee felt it would be in the proper place, proper for the courts to decide and interpret any statutes.

MR. MELVIN: I realize that but it seems to me if we could come up with something a little more definite such as the one you have in the footnote on Page 7, in New Jersey. At least that would avoid any possible litigation.

MR. RUSSELL: Who shall be convicted of such crimes as it may designate? We thought we should have serious to indicate to the Legislature we didn't feel people convicted of disturbing the peace should lose their right to vote. We rejected the word infamous because it has some vauge common law definition and would not include crimes for which many members of the