

1 why not indicate regional governments in this whole enumera-  
2 tion?

3 THE CHAIRMAN: I take it that Mr. Claggett means  
4 that civil divisions would be broad enough to include  
5 regional governments. Would there be any objection to  
6 including the phrase, specifically, in the first sentence?

7 MR. CLAGETT: There would be no objection, ex-  
8 cept that by including it you tend to restrict the meaning  
9 of civil divisions as we intended to convey the meaning to  
10 provide for any form of government including regional  
11 governments or whatever form of government otherwise may  
12 be defined by future developments.

13 THE CHAIRMAN: I don't follow you. Why would  
14 it restrict the meaning of civil division?

15 MR. CLAGETT: Well, it tends to give civil  
16 divisions a different meaning than inclusive of regional  
17 governments, when you put regional governments in there.

18 THE CHAIRMAN: Let me rephrase it, since you are  
19 not concerned with the language as such at the moment.  
20 Is there any objection in principle to including the pro-  
21 visions specifically authorizing the General Assembly