

1 bill that beneficiaries other than a spouse can share,  
2 and if thereafter a judge has been removed, would this  
3 Section eliminate those benefits by reason of the fact that  
4 it says payment to spouse?

5 MR. MARTINEAU: That does raise a question.  
6 This would have to be phrased to pay any benefits required  
7 by law.

8 THE CHAIRMAN: Mrs. Bothe?

9 MRS. BOTHE: On that last sentence, I am a  
10 Member of the Committee, but I don't believe the phrase-  
11 ology was ever discussed in the Committee. I think it is  
12 very clumsily worded, and the point could be made by  
13 stating that the court by order of its removal may declare  
14 any forfeitures of pensions and other rights which the  
15 judge might otherwise enjoy, I think the Committee should  
16 redraft the language in much simpler form, and we probably,  
17 if we did so, Mr. Case's comment would be applicable.

18 THE CHAIRMAN: Any further comment or question  
19 concerning Section 13? If not, we will move to Section 14.

20 MR. MARTINEAU: Section 14, Clerks of Court, on  
21 Page 25.