you or couldn't you get into that hassle between the court and the Legislature where the General Assembly would not like what the court did and the Legislature would go ahead and pass something else and the court would come back and reaffirm what it did, and so on and so forth? I think certainly in this area, I am suggesting that there should be a finality to what the lawyer is by definition. I am wondering whether or not it can be done by order of court which wouldn't be subject to this.

MR. MARTINEAU: We tried to accomplish this by Section 7 in which first of all, we say the Supreme Court by Rule and the General Assembly by law shall have concurrent power to prescribe regulations, not rules.

MR. CASE: I understand.

MR. MARTINEAU: Then, further in the Section, we describe a conflict between a rule and an act of the General Assembly, and then at the end of Section 7, we say rule as used in this Article means a rule adopted by the Supreme Court. So we attempted to mean that there can be no situation wherever we give the Supreme Court the power of rule here other than those specifically mentioned