1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

. 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

Use it any way you went to. MR. CASE:

DR. BARD: A little while ago, I voted in favor of eliminating the requirement for residence for Superior Court, but I am beginning to worry about the very thing that Dr. Jenkins mentioned, that is if we are going to extend this whole concept of applying metropolitanism to where it seems to fit into our expediency and not extend it to where the necessity takes place we are going to be in difficulty, and I oppose extending what we voted for a while ago into this new area.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any further discussion? not, I will assume in the absence of objection that the Commission is in favor of having both provisions as alternates, and therefore a vote Aye will be a vote in favor of amending the second paragraph of Paragraph A of Section 9 so as to provide that a person to be eligible for nomination shall either reside or have been engaged principally in the practice of law in the circuit where the vacancy exists.

A vote No will retain the present paragraph and include the substance of the motion as an alternate.