1

2 3

> 4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

> 12 13

14 15

16 27

18 19

20

21

Court Presenters

they come from, be residents under a standard situation.

Judge Prescott testified or let his views be known before the Committee that he thought this was a very important thing and because of the fact that nobody is excluded in the Appellate Court whereas somebody might be in the trial court, I think there is a very fundamental distinction, and we ought to stick to the residence requirement which we had.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any further discussion?

I must say, Mr. Chairman, that there

MR. CASE: is one difference as Mr. Sykes points out. The question of where you are known and what you know centers on where you are known and what you know with regard to the practice of your profession, not where you are known individually or socially, and every argument that can be marshalled in favor of the vote that has just been taken, it seems to me is equally applicable here. I know one instance where a governor had in wind appointing the man who lived outside of Baltimore City, and the question was would be move back, and this particular person didn't want to take that risk. But it was a very curious