

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Should be subject to what?

2 MR. BOND: Subject to scrutiny and change by  
3 the Executive Department; specifically the Committee  
4 pointed out the example of law clerks and some of the  
5 other minor staff.

6 MR. DELLA: What kind of control would you  
7 have over the budget if it wouldn't be able to check or  
8 cut?

9 MR. MARTINEAU: First of all, the inherent  
10 restraint in the Judiciary Department, but secondly, the  
11 control of the Legislature which would be authorized to  
12 cut anything they wanted to cut out of the budget for  
13 the Judiciary.

14 MRS. FREEDLANDER: There is a provision in the  
15 Constitution at the present time that says the budget of  
16 the Judiciary of the Legislature and School Department is  
17 not subject to cutting by the Legislature. So we do  
18 have the existing provision.

19 MR. MARTINEAU: That Section, so far as we  
20 have been able to determine, has never been given the  
21 effect that I believe it has under our present system