therefore say that the one court throughout the State will have varying jurisdiction.

MR. BOND: I don't believe I'm disagreeing with Mr. Rodowsky or Mr. Sykes. I don't object to a uniform minimum jurisdiction throughout the State, but when the language says the jurisdiction of the District Court shall be uniform throughout the State, I ask them to tell me how it could be concurrent jurisdiction, where concurrent jurisdiction is desired by the people and by the lawyer?

THE CHAIRMAN: I don't think Mr. Sykes suggested the that /concurrent jurisdiction limits would not be uniform, Mr. Bond.

MR. SYKES: I didn't. I suggested that the concurrent jurisdiction limit should be uniform and that wouldn't hamper the plaintiff or the defendant in the choice of court.

MR. CASE: I just want to correct Larry Rodowsky's statement, because so long as original jurisdiction is uniform, then the jurisdiction of the other court, the Superior Court necessarily is uniform, and I'm not arguing