

1 point?

2 MR. MARTINEAU: Well, it would be accepted  
3 as otherwise provided by this Constitution or by law.

4 MR. SYKES: Mr. Chairman, would that language  
5 in all cases cover a probate proceeding where there is  
6 no contest? I'm afraid --

7 MR. MARTINEAU: I'm afraid that's the reason  
8 we said all original jurisdiction.

9 MR. SYKES: Yes. I think all, it includes all  
10 proceedings. You may want to have a repository for the --

11 THE CHAIRMAN: It seems to me the phrase is  
12 awkward when you say all original jurisdiction. If you  
13 don't like in all cases, there ought to be some phrase --

14 MR. SYKES: In all proceedings may be better.

15 MR. MINDEL: In all proceedings would be better.

16 THE CHAIRMAN: I would suggest that the Com-  
17 mittee take this into consideration. It seems to me there  
18 is some force in the suggestion that you are going to use  
19 proceeding, it ought to be judicial proceedings. Rather  
20 than debate it now, will the Committee take it under con-  
21 sideration and also Mr. Sayre's point about original