it doesn't have original jurisdiction that is conferred by this article on the Supreme Court.

THE CHAIRMAN: I don't think that is his point.

I think the language is intended to mean shall have original jurisdiction in all cases.

MR. MINDEL: Well, just all original jurisdiction strikes me. Anybody reading it at first blush would be confused.

MR. MILLER: Would it be clearer to say as provided herein by law?

he is talking about now is the phrase all original jurisdiction, in the second line. Would the Committee consider changing that to, shall have original jurisdiction in all

THE CHAIRMAN: That is a different point. What

MR. MARTINEAU: I don't see any problem with that, offhand. Is that acceptable? Any objection

to that change? Strike the word all before original and before the commaafter jurisdiction add in all cases.

THE CHAIRMAN:

Now, what language did you add to cover Mr.Sayre s

cases?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21