purposes, unless I completely misunderstood you. This sentence contemplates that the pension and retirement shall be as prescribed by law. It simply confers upon the court, upon his removal, to provide that the judge shall not receive all of the pension benefits otherwise accruing to him under the law. They can cut it down, as part of the penalty of removal.

Normally, you think of removal as wiping away all pension rights. This section in effect says that the court can remove and not wipe away all pension rights.

MR. SAYRE: I guess what I am questioning, and
I think I do understand it, is whether the court should
have that power of rule without it being authorized by law.
THE CHAIRMAN: It is not a power of rule. This

is a decision in a particular instance, a verdict.

MR. MARTINEAU: This is the same as giving to the court the power to impose a sentence. In a criminal case, the sentence is up to life imprisonment or even the death penalty. It gives the court the discretion to impose a lesser or stricter penalty in its own judgment.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any further question as to

1 .