or cone

"

or conduct his practice in the district where the vacancy occurs?

MR. MARTINEAU: I don't think we discussed this explicitly. We had many discussions on the necessity for residency in the place where the vacancy exists and, frankly, originally we did not have the limitation in the section relating to the Appellate Court and to the Supreme Court. We put it in primarily because we thought of the unfortunate situation where a person practicing in one county in living in another is disqualified in effect from holding judicial office because he is not going to be appointed to the Bench where he resides and where he doesn't practice.

We got away from that because of, frankly, urgings of the State Bar Committee more than any other reason and perhaps even urgings by some members of this Commission, although we did, as I say, expressly consider making the alternative.

I think there was a feeling that the residency requirement, if it should be included, should relate to residency and should not be applied to where a person's