the question resolved in the final analysis by the Rules Committee.

It is not merely a matter of destroying the symmetry of the rule, but quite often these hotshot amendments that come in the Legislature are introduced by a lawyer-legislator who has been annoyed or aggrieved by the operation of a particular rule in a given instance and he makes an amendment which covers that particular situation, but doesn't fit in with the whole pattern at

all.

The Rules Committee has been able to operate

for the entire period of its existence since 1946, by a cooperation with the Legislature, largely due to the personality of the people who have from time to time been Chairman of the Rules Committee. It seems to me that in this area particularly, of practice and procedure in administration of courts, it is essential that the Court have the final say as to what the procedure should be.

Mr. Sayre?

MR. SAYRE: I guess my orientation comes from the Legislature, so I'm just going to raise a question.