expert functions in the formulation of matters of procedure. We felt that to put the power exclusively in the Rules is undemocratic, that the Legislature should have a say. The Legislature should be entitled to enact a law regarding Rules and that that enactment would require the Court of Appeals to look at it and to determine whether or not to change it, to determine it expressly.

If the Court of Appeals changed it expressly and the Legislature declined, you could theoretically have a merry-go-round, and it has never happened. I think, theoretically, judged by the fruits of the past, it is a perfectly satisfactory situation and the danger of allowing the Legislature final word in these procedural matters is a very serious danger of askewing the procedural system and of taking away the benefits of a system which has worked well for over twenty years.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any further discussion and comment? I would like to make one comment. I was a member of the Rules Committee for the first thirteen years of its existence and I would emphasize everything that Mr. Sykes has said to you concerning the necessity really of having