State. The Legislature, in response to the demands of one segment of the plaintiff's bar working in Workmen's Compensation appeals, made a change and provided for a different method of taking an appeal. The only result of this was to produce a lack of uniformity and to mar the symmetry of the rule.

The Court of Appeals readopted its rule. Thus,

under the concurrent power, changing the Legislature,

superseding it. The Legislature, as I recall, changed

that apply to all administrative agencies throughout the

back again and the Court of Appeals, convinced that this
was a procedural matter and one on which the Legislature
judgment was not proper as a legislative judgment, changed

it again and the Legislature finally acquiesced.

On the other hand, as I said, the Court of Appeals has deferred to the Legislature and incorporated certain statutes in the Rules.

Now, I think that what the proposed draft of the Committee tries to do is to preserve the values involved in the system in the past and to give to the Court and to the Legislature there an appropriate and most