recognition that any debts previously incurred would still be honored?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  CASE: That is exactly the law today,  ${\operatorname{Mr}.}$  Brooks.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is?

MR. CASE: That there are bond issues outstanding that are invalid. That's what he is talking about.

MR. BROOKS: They would be invalid?

MR. CASE: That's right. I hate to tell you how many bonds issued by Baltimore City are invalid right now.

 $$\operatorname{DR}$.$  BURDETTE: Under the present Constitution, you mean?

MR. CASE: That's right. You can't save that.

That's just a goof on somebody's part.

MR. BROOKS: What I am really asking, though, would there be a change of position in regard to our Constitution with the adoption of this provision which we did not have before, of a single public purpose doctrine, whether the incurring of debts in a procedure heretofore would be void under an interpretation that the Constitution

3 4

5

6

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21