1 2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

want to see a limit here; and my second question is as follows, and the two go together, in part. A little over an hour ago I asked you a question about these revenue bonds and I had a purpose in asking it and giving as an illustration the Kennedy toll road. If it is true that, let us say these dormitories

improvement differ from other capital improvements, and

I, for one, would settle for either 30 or 35? I don't

and the Frostburg illustration which you have given are all in terms of public purpose, why would not the toll road be in terms of public purpose and why wouldn't it be deserving of the same kind of endorsement of credit which would call for, let us say a lower rate of interest, than putting it in the revenue bond category? How through the establishment of this would you avoid putting some things that now go in the revenue bond going into this? There are two questions here.

It is not a question of avoiding MR. CASE: putting anything in one category that doesn't go into another. Let me clarify something in your mind. As far as general obligation bonds are concerned, which we are