Committee would be the more proper one.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Case, I would like to ask two questions in connection with that. I share some of the misgivings about this completely unlimited, and I am also a little concerned about limiting it too tightly. If the limitation were written in either 25, 30, 35 years, any limitation, in your opinion would it be practical? I know it could be done, but would it be practical to have an issue for a longer period of time with the State's guarantee extending for only a part of the term of the bond?

MR. CASE: Well, as I said earlier, Mr.Chairman, the ingenuity of bond counsel knows no bounds when it comes to writing pieces of paper, and I assume what you are saying is that the earlier maturities would be guaranteed, but the later ones would not.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, and that is why I use the

word practical, and that is not a good word. I know it could be done, but as a practical matter, do you think this would end up giving you any substantial benefit or would the investing public simply consider it as not a