

1 of occasions in recent years. There was a time it was
2 30, 90, 70, and those individuals who have been
3 concerned about just how long they were going to hold
4 office were not very much disturbed. It seems to
5 Committee members that if the statute were so written
6 with all this in mind and we have faith in the body,
7 keeping all this in mind, then they would take this into
8 consideration and not set up a tight date. I think the
9 moment we get into a tight target date, we will destroy
10 the very virtue of continuous session. I don't think
11 you are going to go on and meet every day and meet
12 throughout the entire year. We know that this will not
13 take place by the mere nature of the statute. The statute
14 will have a possible opening in case of situations such
15 as the Cooper-Hughes Bill. At present we don't have it,
16 I think if you set up 90 days, there is no point in
17 calling a continuing body.

18 MR. MILES: I am lost to know what this has
19 to do with the Cooper-Hughes Bill. Whether the
20 session had been 20 days longer or 30 days longer, I
21 think the unfortunate thing there was it didn't start