give it or lend it to the institution. The institution would be able to get the benefit of the State's credit in the form of a gift of assets or, if the State wanted the institution or the private person to be the one to pay it, the State could make whatever contractual arrangements were necessary; but the point is that when the Legislature passed the law, the Legislature would be required to sign on the bottom line and to incur the obligation on behalf of the State. It would show up on the State's balance sheet and the Legislature would not be subject to the temptation of accommodating political pressures or being the nice fellow and hoping that the day of reckoning might not ever come.

I think that the carrying forward of what I conceive to be the present Constitutional prohibition, notwithstanding the Attorney General may have expanded the language some, is an important element in promoting fiscal responsibility and all you have to do is read the debates of the Convention from which the present Constitutional language resulted to know that it is irresponsible, in my judgment, on our part to ignore their teachings