handles the fiscal affairs of the State probably is the single most important feature.

Thirdly, I am concerned, lest we add a prohibition today, without being able to foresee the new kinds of financing techniques that may arise in years to come.

I have in mind an illustration that I mentioned to the Committee when it considered this particular problem of suppose, for example, the State were to become involved in what I call a baby F.H.A. or V.A. type situation.

Suppose, as an adjunct to/slum clearance, urban renewal sort of program, it was felt helpful for public purposes for the State to guarantee mortgages, home-owner mortgages to persons dislocated, to encourage home buying, to make it possible for persons to buy their homes.

I would suggest, Mr. Chairman, if the State were to become involved in that kind of program, a 25 year limitation, meaning that the State could not guarantee a mortgage, a home mortgage that ran for more than 25 years, might make that kind of technique impossible. I think we can afford to take the chance and I think, as a Constitutional proposition, we should not try to -- we should show