observation that the State Constitution would appear to prohibit any extension of credit to any individual, association, corporation, in practice, it seems to me, that because of the interpretation by the Attorney General and, indeed, in some ways without it, there have been such extensions of credit in the past. So that the 25 year limitation proposed really amounts to, to my way of thinking, in practice, a prohibition not presently in the Constitution.

Secondly, I am impressed by the fact that in our study of all these matters, of far greater importance, to my way of thinking, than the language of the Constitution and prohibitions in the Constitution as far as the credit rating of the State is concerned was how the State in fact and over the course of years handles its financial obligations. This, I think, or, at least, this is what I took from our several sessions with the banking investment community in Baltimore and our trip to New York, and while I am not trying to suggest that Constitutional prohibitions are meaningless or irrelevant, it does seem to me that the basic fiscal integrity of the State and how the Legislature