the bank. The bank is securing this because the act further said that the full faith and credit of the State should be pledged to this undertaking. That is to say, if the industry failed to pay and hence the county could not pay, that the full faith and credit of the State would be used to meet this obligation.

This act, the pledge of the full faith and credit, was the point of inquiry before the Court, and it was argued in the alternative that, first, the act really didn't pledge the full faith and credit although it said so in so many words and secondly, that if it did, then it was invalid as in violation of Section 34, which says that you can't pledge the full faith and credit to a corporation.

The Court of Appeals ducked the main issue, unfortunately for our discussions this morning, holding in effect that the act did not, in effect, pledge the full faith and credit and therefore the authorities' attempt to do so was a nullity and void, but in the preparation and argument of this case, it was made pretty clear from the bench that the court would not sustain