1 2

3 4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

> 15 .

> > 18

17

19 20

20

51

the State's credit, and therefore violated the credit clause, because it was a lending of credit to a corporation, but as I have already indicated, the Court of Appeals said No, that even though you used your credit to go out and get the money, that what you really did was turn the money over to the Hopkins and cash is not credit, and hence this is perfectly all right.

The second case that involved this, and the only other case on a State level which directly involved the credit clause as such was a case which was before the Court of Appeals at the last term. In this particular case, the act of the Legislature said, in effect, that a corporation, the public corporation should be established and that that corporation should have the power to guarantee the payment of mortgages which would be made to local political subdivisions for the purpose of erecting buildings for industries' use. Typically, it is the old FHA gimmick. A party borrows money from a bank, builds a building with the money, leases the building to an industry. The money that is paid by way of rental by the industry to the county is used to pay off