

1 MR. SAYRE: Exactly, that very language I
2 would like to see in.

3 DR. BARD: I would accept that amendment and
4 I think our Committee members would because we discussed
5 it. Am I right about that?

6 MR. MILLER: I think you are, sir. There is
7 one point that just occurred to me. Particularly if
8 we have -- the Committee's recommendation on staggered
9 terms has been voted down -- it seems to me we ought to
10 have some constitutional date in which a new Legislature
11 would be compelled to meet. You can almost imagine a
12 situation where if everybody was defeated in a landslide
13 in November they would have a meeting in December and
14 provide by law that the next Legislature shouldn't meet
15 until the following November or something. I think it
16 would be desirable to have something on the constitution
17 that started off its new session because theoretically
18 you might not have a single member holding over after
19 four years.

20 I believe our Committee wants the Legislature
21 once in the saddle to be able to run its own program.