1 thought.

 DR. BURDETTE: In 7 and 8, the language used is that of an accomplished authorization. It is an inconsistency with the Line 6 part which is an attempted or purported authorization.

MR. CASE: But note the difference in language. The purported deals with indebtedness, which is the word of art in this Section. The thing accomplished is the issuance of an obligation. An obligation doesn't necessarily, isn't an indebtedness and indeed wouldn't be an indebtedness under this. That is exactly the reason for this.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Case, may I suggest language that might meet both? In Line 6, if you took out the language, purporting, and let it read, unless the act of the General Assembly -- you have to take out purporting to and change authorize to authorized -- unless the act of the General Assembly authorizing the creation of a, instead of such indebtedness, includes such an irrevocable pledge, the obligation shall not be considered to be an indebtedness of the State.