1 2

3

4

5 6

> 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 .12

16

17 18

19

20

21

very hesitant to bring up in that Committee changes of style language if they would affect the substance.

Mrs. Freedlander, to my mind, is not talking about removing the magic words, which nobody wants to remove, but rather talking about the awkward language, purporting to authority, and I am wondering if one can instead of saying, purporting to authorize, say, authorizing the creation, because I would call to the attention of the Committee that if one later goes on and wants to be perfectly consistent, and after the comma one would say, the obligation so purported and not so authorized, because the word, purport, impugns the authorization. I don't believe the Committee really

> I think it did intend to do THE CHAIRMAN:

> > If they want to impugn the

That is right. MR. CASE:

DR. BURDETTE:

authorization, they should use the language purported, shall not be considered an indebtedness of the State. almost implies it has been authorized. I am asking can we

that.

intended to do that.