et cetera, would it hurt if this sentence was set up in a way to say that the General Assembly or the State cannot borrow money, except for a public use?

MR. CASE: Let me say this about that, Congressman: The present unworkable Section 34 is written in the negative. This Section is written in the affirmative.

We think it is a better approach. It is a matter of style, and it is a question of approach. We think the affirmative approach, what it can rather than what it cannot do is best.

MR. MILLER: What apparently concerns our good professors is it is just stating a truism and not a limitation.

MR. CASE: It is a limitation, as you read it in context, but it states it in an affirmative rather than a negative way. You see, you have got to think in terms of the history, as I tried to describe it. The State borrowed money originally without any provision in the Constitution. The Constitution of 1776 had nothing in it about money. You went ahead and borrowed and turned the bonds over to the railroads and canals, so that based on

Court Raggione