6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

particular thing to state on its face what the public purpose is, so that all will know and so that it can be brought to the courts if it is necessary to bring it to the courts, and permit the courts to pass a judgment on that question.

The business that has been added on G indicates that another test, or another safeguard should be hung on the use of the State's credit, and that is that it should not, or gift of the State's assets, which is not meaningful and the brackets indicate in my draft that I handed Mr. Brooks that I wanted that struck out, of State assets doesn't belong in here because you can't give assets for twenty-five years, but what it purports to say is that the State's credit if given for a public purpose should not be extended for a period more than twenty-five years so that in the example I give, it would limit the bond issue which could be guaranteed to twenty-five years issue. That is the same as the State bond issue itself could be. I will come back to the pros and cons of that in a minute. because I now want to turn to the balance of Section 34. existing Section 34, and tell you what has happened to it.