This sentence corrects a number of weaknesses in Section 34 as it now stands. If you will look at the two sentences -- if you will look at Section 34, you will see it says, No debt shall be hereinafter contracted by the General Assembly, unless such debt shall be authorized by law, providing for the collection of an annual tax or taxes sufficient to pay the interest on such debt as it falls due, and also to discharge the principal thereof within fifteen years from the time of contracting the same. The taxes levied for this purpose shall not be repealed or applied to any other object until the said debt and interest thereon shall have been fully discharged.

That that I just read has been, and it is recommended to be, superseded by the language in V.

The problem stems from the sentence in the present Constitution, revolving around two concepts, one a practical one, and one a legal one. The legal one is involved in the use of the word, debt, because that word has given birth to probably more litigation than any other single word in this particular Section, and just recently, in the last term of the Court of Appeals, there were no