to put them under oath or affirmation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you accept the amendment, Mr. Sykes?

MR. SYKES: I do not accept it.

THE CHAIRMAN: You want to move to amend the motion?

MR. CLAGETT: I so move.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second to Mr. Clagett's motion to amend Mr. Sykes' motion? It fails for lack of second.

DR. BURDETTE: I will second it so I may get the floor.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{THE CHAIRMAN:} & \textbf{Now you have the floor on the} \\ \textbf{question of the amendment.} \\ \end{tabular}$ 

DR. BURDETTE: I have no desire to bring up the word, oath. I am not trying to get into this matter. What I am trying to do, and I am wondering if Mr. Clagett would accept this view, and Mr. Sykes in some fashion to make this a judicial proceeding in the minds of the senators instead of a political proceeding. However that can be worded, I would like to get it down. I think that