

1 MR. MILLER: It also occurs to me that it is
2 belaboring the Constitution to provide for special oath
3 or a special affirmation whenever a member of the legis-
4 lative body takes an oath of office. You don't have a
5 judge every time you make an affidavit or take an oath.
6 I think we are getting down into too many details. A
7 senator is not going to vote one way under oath and
8 another way because he didn't put his hand on the Bible,
9 and we are getting this down to the point where it is
10 ridiculous.

11 MR. CLAGETT: Mr. Chairman, would it be
12 ridiculous, though, to add after the last word, senators,
13 on oath or affirmation, and then the further phrase,
14 appropriate word shall not extend further than removal
15 from office?

16 THE CHAIRMAN: That you might make as a separate
17 motion that would be embodied in this if this passes.
18 At the moment, the motion before you is Mr. Sayre's
19 motion to recommend to consider the language he has read,
20 and Dr. Bard says the Committee has already considered
21 that.