or by law or what. So I think the whole subject is open 8 for discussion. 9 JUDGE ADKINS: I should like to suggest that 10 the power of compelling testimony of witnesses should be 11 only as the result of some extraordinary vote of one or 12 both sections of the House. I think this is a very 13 dangerous and treacherous clause. I think the power of 14 Legislature investigation has been subject to great abuse at the Federal level in recent years, and I see no 15

reason for it to be extended to the State level. I sug-

limited to being exercised only as a result of the two-

DR. BARD: I have one general statement to

make, and that is, that Judge Adkins has set forth, I think,

gest it be eliminated in its entirely or at least be

Commission, as I understood it, was that this Section

should be so drafted as to protect witnesses and papers

and documents from unreasonable requests for production

how that should be accomplished, whether it should be

by limitation on the exercise of the power of subpoena

and so forth. I do not think it was any discussion as to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

thirds vote of the Legislature.