

1' grant relief if it determines the plan before it is not
2 constitutional, that the Section should so state. As
3 for instance, by saying the Supreme Court shall have
4 original jurisdiction to review the districting and legis-
5 lative apportionment of the State, and if it find the
6 statement is not in conformity with the Constitution, to
7 grant appropriate relief.

8 DR. BARD: Good correction.

9 THE CHAIRMAN: But I am not clear as to what
10 the Committee intends.

11 DR. BARD: Just what you said.

12 THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any disagreement with
13 that view?

14 MR. CLAGETT: I disagree with that view. I
15 understood and did so vote that we were, when the Supreme
16 Court took jurisdiction just as the United States District
17 Court took jurisdiction, it could come up with a plan,
18 and it would be hoped that that plan would certainly be
19 constitutional as well as appropriate; and my understand-
20 ing of the word, appropriate, was that it had full and
21 complete original jurisdiction and original has got to