

1 legislative void. I think the only reason we wouldn't  
2 have recommended that the salary remain the same is that  
3 I think it was a general feeling among the members of  
4 the subcommittee that present salaries are inadequate  
5 and we didn't want to freeze it.

6 MR. MARTINEAU: Mr. Chairman, I think the  
7 problem is that first of all the nature of the  
8 Legislature is probably going to be quite different  
9 so that present salary whether inadequate or not isn't  
10 going to be adequate for a new Legislature.

11 Tied to that is the idea you don't want a  
12 legislative body voting on its own salary. Somewhere  
13 you have to adopt a salary for the next Legislature.  
14 It seems to me the constitutional convention is really  
15 the only body that can do that. The only thing I am  
16 objecting to here is you say they should do this by  
17 legislation or something. I think if they are going to  
18 do it and you don't want it as a permanent part of the  
19 constitution, you would either adopt it as a schedule  
20 as part of the constitution or as a clause of the constitu-  
21 tion which would automatically drop out after four years.