consent verdict, which is incorporated by interpretation in the Federal Constitution, is now in the process of serious attention to revising that, because of the problem they have had with jury tampering and the rising rate of crime in England, which I suppose is not rising half the rate ours is, but in any event, I think this is another area where I would trust the legislative judgment in attempting to respond to a problem that may magnify in the future. I support Mr. Mindel's suggestion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any further statements?

MR. BOND: May I ask if a vote in favor of this is a vote in favor of changing the jury system?

MR. MINDEL: No.

MR. BOND: It is just for further study?

THE CHAIRMAN: No. The vote is not a vote in changing the jury system, except to the extent that it changes the requirement that the verdict be unanimous.

This is not a vote for further study by the Commission.

This is an instruction to the Committee.

MR. BOND: I would say in that event, I am not prepared to vote in favor of the motion because I