thinking, the right of cross-examination is one of the 1 2 most precious rights in the trial of any lawsuit, particularly in a criminal case. While I have also res-3 pect for the Supreme Court, I would just as soon have 4 it written in the Constitution as rely on the changing 5 opinions of the Supreme Court, because if they have 6 written it in now, there is no reason why in another ten 7 years, they can't write it out. I see no reason, other 8 than the argument of brevity, and while I realize that 9 10 brevity is essential, I don't think it should be the 11 dominating factor; I would renew my suggestion that 12 specifically the right of examination of witnesses be 13 included in this Section.

MR. SCANLAN: Could I make it specific by suggesting an amendment: After the phrase, To be confronted with, appearing in Line 4, Section 7, I propose the following amendment: Comma, and to cross-examine, comma.

That would be the amendment.

JUDGE ADKINS: That would be acceptable to me.

THE CHAIRMAN: May I suggest to you that if

you make that amendment, that cuts against perhaps the

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21