

1 ferent aspect of Article 21, where I note the present
2 Article reads, To call in the witnesses for and against
3 him on oath, and that is omitted from Section 7, and my
4 query is, where is there protection against perjury pro-
5 vided for in Section 7, by the omission of the portion
6 I just read from Article 21?

7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Gentry?

8 MR. GENTRY: Again, I would say that the con-
9 frontation provision which we have put in certainly
10 embraces, if you are confronted with the full meaning,
11 Confronted with the witnesses, would mean to call even
12 those witnesses, to ask them questions. That is the
13 normal trial routine. How else could you have a trial
14 except by a question and answer proceeding, and certainly,
15 the rules are going to provide for the taking of testimony
16 under oath.

17 JUDGE ADKINS: On that point, I would like
18 to know the authority for saying that the right of con-
19 frontation involves the right of cross-examination. The
20 right of cross-examination is specifically preserved in
21 Article 21. The Committee seems to feel it is covered