

1 complication in reducing it, since nobody would know
2 what warrant to get. That simply means that in the case
3 of physical seizure, warrant is necessary; but that the
4 interception is protected by the language against un-
5 reasonable search.

6 MR. SCANLAN: It may be clear to you, but it
7 is murky to me.

8 THE CHAIRMAN: I think to answer you, Profes-
9 sor Burdette, Judge Adkins in effect is saying that there
10 should be a warrant to authorize the interception of the
11 telephone conversation or what have you. Judge Adkins,
12 may I ask a question that comes to my mind? How would
13 you think one would particularly describe the oral com-
14 munication be intercepted?

15 JUDGE ADKINS: You mean in the petition for
16 the writ?

17 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

18 JUDGE ADKINS: I should think the right to
19 intercept conversations between the suspect and any
20 other person would be perfectly adequate.

21 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, this may be too restrictive